

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

225 Franklin Street
Boston, Massachusetts
02110-2804

Telephone
617 542-5070

Facsimile
617 542-8906

Web Site
www.fr.com

Date July 9, 2009

To Examiner Chirag Patel
United States Patent & Trademark Office

Faxsimile number 04838-007700001 / 571-273-7966

From Paul J. Micele
Assistant to Elliott J. Mason, III

Re PowerAV - Encapsulation
Application No.: 10/720,742
Our Ref.: 04838-0077001

Number of pages
including this page 3

Message
Please see attached interview request form.

NOTE: This facsimile is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately call us collect at (617) 542-5070 to arrange for its return. Thank you.

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/720,742 First Named Applicant: Lawrence W. Yonge, III
 Examiner: Chirag R Patel Art Unit: 2141 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:
 (1) Elliott J. Mason, III (2) Frank Gorratana

(3) (4)
 Proposed Date of Interview: TBD Proposed Time: TBD (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:
 (1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO
 If yes, provide brief description:

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) 103 Rejection	1	Famolari, Jeon	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet Attached					

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Please see the attached sheet for a summary of questions to be asked for the issue listed above.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____
 NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview
 (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

/Elliott J. Mason, III Reg. No. 56,569/

 Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Elliott J. Mason, III

 Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

56,569

 Registration Number, if applicable

 Examiner/SPE Signature

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form, including suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. VIA FAX OR E-MAIL. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

questions for interview in Office Action of 2-19-09

Serial No: 10/720,742
Docket Number: 04838-077001

103 rejection of claim 1

On page 4 of the Office Action, the Examiner states that Jeon discloses "at least some of the low level data units containing boundary demarcation information indicating boundaries between the sub-frames in the stream." In particular, the examiner points to page 357 of Jeon, describing a "margin between last minislot of a R-subframe and the first TXslot of the following T-subframe"

On page 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner states that Famolari discloses "supplying low level data units, at least some of the low level data units...each containing one or more of the plurality of pieces into which the encapsulated stream was divided." Further, the Examiner says that, with respect to paragraph 62 of Famolari, "FSDU is interpreted as the low level data unit."

(Q1) What is disclosed in Jeon does the Examiner believe is equivalent to the "low level data units" of claim 1? Is it the margin, the minislot, the TXslot, the R-subframe, the T-subframe, or some other construct or combination of these?

(Q2) What disclosed in Jeon does the Examiner believe is equivalent to the "boundary demarcation information" contained in a low level data unit of claim 1? Is it information contained in one of the items listed in question 1, or something else?

(Q3) The Examiner identifies the FSDU of Famolari as a low level data unit. Claim 1 requires that each low level data unit contains a plurality of the pieces into which the encapsulated stream of sub-frames is divided. Does the Examiner believe that the FSDU of Famolari can be combined with the sub-frames of Jeon in this way?